Transparency International EU

The global coalition against corruption

Moonlighting in Brussels: side jobs and ethics concerns in the European Parliament

Pia Engelbrecht-Bogdanov · Tuesday, July 10th, 2018

Our full report is available here.

Moonlighting a common practice

"Moonlighting" – the practice of having a second job – is relatively common among politicians in democracies. This also holds true for the European Parliament. 60% of the members have declared outside activities that can include regular employment, board memberships or other political mandates. In total, the 751 MEPs have declared 1,366 activities, a 13% increase from the beginning of the mandate. 31% of MEPs now have paid side jobs.

To gain a better overview of what members of parliament are doing outside of their legislative and parliamentary activity, Transparency International EU has analysed over 2,000 declarations of financial interest to find out. The results provide a detailed picture of what outside activities sitting MEPs have had been engaged in since their election in 2014.

Second job and conflicts of interests

The common justification for second jobs is that they allow elected officials to stay in touch with their profession and to return to a previous job when they leave office. Others say it allows them to keep links to the realities of their electorate. Participation in unremunerated activities, by sitting on boards for example, can be beneficial for maintaining close links with the society. But having an outside job on top of a full-time activity as an elected member of parliament can also create conflicts of interests or prevent MEPs from devoting sufficient time and attention to their roles as elected representatives.

This is not an automatic consequence. Not all paid outside activities lead to conflicts of interest. However, activities that generate large amounts of income, or are conducted with registered lobby organisations, or have started during the term in office present a greater risk of conflict of interests. Three MEPs currently hold paid positions in organisations on the EU lobby register. Viviane Reding is a board member for the Bertelsmann Foundation, Agnes Jongerius is on the supervisory board of PostNL and Paul Rübig holds a position with the Austrian Chamber of Commerce (WKÖ), representing the interests of Austrian businesses. Such roles may not involve lobbying their colleagues in the European Parliament, but the MEPs concerned should make it clear that this is the case, especially in view of the explicit ban

1

on lobbying activities in the European Parliament's Code of Conduct.

×

49 MEPs have started new activities since the beginning of the mandate, four of them earning more than 100,000 EUR extra per year. Activities started during the mandate can have higher risk of conflict of interests as these are potentially directly linked to an MEP's activity in the Parliament. High incomes are particularly worrying when the descriptions of the activities in the declarations are limited to "consultant", "lawyer" or "freelancer". The lack of detail makes it impossible for citizens, journalists or civil society to monitor these activities for potential conflicts of interests.

Ethics risks with large outside incomes

By calculating the incomes declared by MEPs since they took office in July 2014, our research shows that MEPs have earned a total of 18 to 41 million EUR from side jobs. MEPs can only declare more money from outside jobs than from the compensation that MEPs receive from the taxpayer. The monthly base salary of MEPs is 8,484 EUR, but monthly gross income in broad ranges, leading to a strong disparity between the lower and upper range of our calculations. 35 MEPs have earned over 100,000 EUR on top of their official salaries as MEPs. Between 9 and 30 MEPs have earned earned more from outside jobs than the compensation that MEPs receive from the taxpayer. The monthly base salary MEPs receive is 8484 EUR, but most MEPs receive between 10,000 and 12,000 EUR per month after taxes and expenses when including allowances.

Rank	Name	Group	Country	Total minimum income	Total maximum income	Main activities
1	Renato Soru**	S&D	Italy	1.547.500€	1.565.035€	Director Tiscali
2	Antanas Guoga**	EPP	Lithuania	1.356.387€	1.428.375€	Poker player + entrepreneur
3	Guy Verhofstadt**	ALDE	Belgium	920.614€	1.425.000€	Director SOFINA
4	Renaud Muselier**	EPP	France	816.130€	1.586.487€	Director Medical Company
5	Rachida Dati**	EPP	France	768.000€	768.000€	Lawyer
6	Nigel Farage**	EFDD	UK	590.048€	790.000€	Broadcast contracts
7	Jean-Luc Schaffhauser**	ENF	France	504.032 €	552.020€	Consultant MWD Dubai
8	Daniel Buda	EPP	Romania	444.027€	543.988€	Notary
9	Bogdan Brunon Wenta**	EPP	Poland	442.000€	442.000€	Individual Economic Activity
10	Remo Sernagiotto	ECR	Italy	408.000€	408.000€	Councilor Veneto Region
11	Salvatore Domenico Pogliese	EPP	Italy	300.060€	600.000€	Accountant
12	Paulo Rangel	EPP	Portugal	280.048€	704.000€	Lawyer + TV Commentator
13	Joëlle Mélin	ENF	France	265.044€	450.984€	Doctor
14	Joëlle Bergeron	EFDD	France	246.054€	510.000€	Auctioneer + CEO
15	Kostas Chrysogonos	GUE/NGL	Greece	240.096€	660.000€	Lawyer

Top 30 MEPs with outside income*

16	Antonio López-Istúriz White	EPP	Spain	240.048€	480.000€	SG European People's Party (EPP)
17	Bernard Monot	ENF	France	240.000€	480.000€	Senior Manager – Economist- Financial
18	Zdzis?aw Krasnod?bski	ECR	Poland	231.575€	642.982€	Professor
19	***			229.389€	450.821€	
20	Philippe Loiseau	ENF	France	202.992€	418.944€	Farmer
21	Dariusz Rosati	EPP	Poland	180.180€	900.000€	Board Bank Millennium + Professor
22	Philippe Juvin	EPP	France	170.598€	629.000€	Doctor
23	Nirj Deva	ECR	UK	168.166€	778.978€	Entrepreneur
24	***			160.162€	688.030€	
25	***			147.612€	171.588€	
26	Sirpa Pietikäinen	EPP	Finland	137.600€	687.461€	Board Kone
27	Angelika Niebler	EPP	Germany	123.516€	735.939€	Lawyer + Board member
28	Angelo Ciocca	ENF	Italy	120.536€	246.500€	Freelancer + Local Mandate
29	Viviane Reding	EPP	Luxembourg	113.089€	541.000€	Board Member AFGA + Bertelsman Foundation
30	Angélique Delahaye	EPP	France	110.948€	303.400€	Mayor

Our full dataset is available below.

Moonlighting in the political groups

Our analysis shows that all political groups in the European Parliament are affected by MEPS engaged in outside activities. There is however a strong disparity between the groups in terms of the average income declared by MEPs and the share of MEPs declaring outside income within their respective groups. Outside incomes are, for example, particularly high among members of the Europe of Nations and Freedom (ENF), which has the highest share of its members (54%) declaring paid activities.

MEPs with outside income per political group

×

Lessons from history

Outside incomes can potentially be used to channel payments to members in return for insider information or legislative action. They can also be used for illicit campaign or party funding. In 2011 three MEPs were caught by undercover journalists accepting payments to table amendments. The practice of moonlighting in the European Parliament has been regulated since the so-called "cash-for-amendments" scandal in 2011. To prevent such scandals in the future, the European Parliament introduced a Code of Conduct designed to govern, among others, the practice of MEPs engaging in outside activities. The objective was to disclose any potential conflicts of interests that might arise between outside activities and their work as MEPs and to prevent them from receiving any advantage in exchange for their activities as

Weak ethics oversight

Despite the ethics infrastructure that has been put in place with the Code of Conduct in 2012, ethics oversight in the European Parliament remains weak. The current system is not independent with the President of the Parliament having the sole responsibility for enforcing the code. In the past five years, there have been at least 24 breaches of ethics rules, yet not a single one has led to sanctions. Only in one case has there been a reprimand. Repeatedly, members have been found not to declare activities, gifts over a certain value3 or invitations to events. MEPs have for example failed to declare trips paid by foreign government organised under the guise of so-called friendship groups, as well as trips financed by the Azerbaijani government to observe the Presidential elections of 2013. Both Presidents of the current legislature, Martin Schulz and his successor Antonio Tajani, have consistently opted not to sanction violations of the Code, despite the Advisory Committee having substantiated numerous breaches. When revelations are made in the media or through the investigations of watchdog organisations, members are simply invited to submit an updated declaration. This situation, of course, gives little to no incentive to provide accurate declarations in the first place.

This system seems particularly weak in comparison to the ethics regimes in Canada, the US or France. In the United States for example, members of Congress are not allowed to have paid outside activities in professions where there is a risk for conflict of interests (banks, consultants, lawyers etc...) and they cannot receive payments for speeches or board memberships. Any outside income that exceeds 15% of their salary is paid into the US federal budget. In France, an independent authority monitors ethics violations with far-reaching investigative powers including, for example, access to tax declarations.

Key recommendations

In order to strengthen the ethics system in the European Parliament ahead of the 2019 European elections, Transparency International EU recommends to swiftly:

- enforce a ban on lobbying activities for sitting MEPs
- improve the information that is provided in the declarations, including on clients and topic areas worked on
- improve monitoring of declarations by cross-referencing European Parliament declarations with other sources of information
- establish an independent ethics oversight body to investigate and sanction violations.

Our full report is available here.

* The top 30 MEPs with highest total minimum and maximum outside income earned since the start of their current mandate until 3 July 2018. It is the responsibility of the MEPs to provide accurate information on their outside income. Transparency International EU has calculated these figures by multiplying the gross monthly income declared in their declarations of financial interests to the European Parliament by the number of months in office, taking into account changes when MEPs provide multiple declarations over the course of their mandate. One-off payments have been added to the totals in our calculations. This list was correct at time of publication on the 10th of July 2018.

^{**} Before 2017, the highest category of income was 10,000+ with no specific figures provided. Since the changes introduced in December

2016, MEPs must provide the nearest 10,000 figure when earning above 10,000 EUR. For older declarations, we made the assumption that MEPs were earning exactly 10,000 EUR, unless another figure was specified or provided in a more recent declaration. This means that the incomes of MEPs could have been higher than the numbers indicated here.

*** When contacted for this report, these MEPs have informed us of lower incomes than originally declared. Under the Code of Conduct MEPs are solely responsible for the information declared and have till the end of the month following any change occurring to update their declarations. In the case at hand, the changes to incomes far exceed the reporting deadline in the Code of Conduct. Due to time-constraints, MEPs were not in a position to provide supplemental verification of the changes to their declarations. Therefore, we have opted to remove the names at this time.

Full information on 231 MEP's outside income up to 3rd of July 2014

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Uer91AVDWV-0bGQR7hppeg1OTV9PLeaUHYqxw9cs ec/edit?usp=sharing

Please note that the table has changed since the original publication date on 10 July 2018. Contact us for any questions regarding the data.

This entry was posted on Tuesday, July 10th, 2018 at 10:00 am